PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7:		(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/35-
A61K 9/00, 31/485, 9/12	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 22 June 2000 (22.06
 (21) International Application Number: PCT/NL (22) International Filing Date: 11 December 1998 ((71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): PI CHEMIE B.V. [NL/NL]; 5, Swensweg, NL-2031 (lem (NL). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): VERKERK, [NL/NL]; 16e, 2e Nassaustraat, NL-1052 BN dam (NL). BLOM-ROSS, Marianne, Elisabeth 8, Hortensialaan, NL-2106 CH Heemstede (NI DORT, Karin [NL/NL]; 51, Zijdelveld, NL-1 Uithoom (NL). DE VOS, Dick [NL/NL]; 36, Ho erlaan, NL-2341 LP Oegstgeest (NL). (74) Agent: VAN DER KLOET-DORLEIJN, G., W., F.; V Polak & Charlouis B.V., P.O. Box 3241, NL-2 Rijswijk (NL). 	Volcm Amste (NL/NL L). VA 1421 T ofbrouc	BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, IP, KE, KG, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI pa (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, SN, TD, TG). Published With international search report.
as dry powder for inhalation are intended for local treatme lungs and airways. Indications for opioids dry powder per i	pioids, s ent in th inhalatic vhich ca	uch as morphine, administered as a dry powder. Opioids administ respiratory tract, or for systemic treatment following absorption in include the treatment of dyspnoea and pain. Opioids as dry powdern be described as a multi-dose reservoir system such as the Cycloven

WO 00/35417 PCT/NL98/00713

Pharmaceutical preparation for inhalation of an opioid.

The present invention relates to the inhalation of opioids, such as morphine, administered as a dry powder.

The pharmacologic properties of opioids include effects on the central nervous system and the bowel and 5 include analgesia, drowsiness, changes in mood, respiratory depression, reduced gastrointestinal mobility, nausea, vomiting, and miosis.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Opioids are mainly used for the relief of moderate to severe pain. In addition, reports have been published on the use of opioids in the treatment of dyspnoea and neurally mediated mucus secretion.

In the treatment of pain as well as dyspnoea, opioids are administered parentally and orally. Inhalation of nebulized opioids solutions has been reported to be effective with lower doses and less side effects, as compared to the parental and oral route of administration. As nebulizers are widely used in clinical practice, morphine is frequently administered by the nebulized route. Reference is in this respect made to Farncombe M. Chater S and Gillin A, "The use of nebulized opioids for breathlessness: a chart review," Palliative Medicine 1994: 8; 306-312, and to Farncombe M and Chater S, "Clinical application of nebulized opioids for treatment of dyspnoea in patients with malignant disease," Support Care Cancer 1994: 2; 184-187.

The use of solutions for inhalation administered by a nebulizer has several drawbacks, such as escape of vapour through the mask during expiration and trapping of the 30 nebulizer solution in the nebulizer. Also to inhale by means of a nebulizer takes some time, which can be aggravating for terminally ill patients.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The object of the present invention is to provide a

convenient and reliable method of administering opioids. More specifically, the administration is by inhalation.

The invention therefore relates to a pharmaceutical preparation for inhalation consisting of micronized 5 particles of an opioid having a fine particle fraction of at least 10%.

For administration by inhalation, the compositions according to the invention are conveniently delivered by conventional means, e.g. in the form of a single-dose

10 premetered system such as the CyclohalerTM using cartridges, or a premetered disposable inhaler such as the DisphalerTM, or in the form of a multidose reservoir system such as the CycloventTM.

Examples of the pharmacologically active substances as described in general as opioids are morphine, hydromorphone, oxymorphone and codeine. Morphine is the preferred substance. The substances can be used in the form of their salts, sich as alkali metal or amine salts or as acid addition salts; or as esters such as lower alkyl esters, or 20 as solvates (hydrates), to optimise the activity, efficacy and/or stability of the substance. Morphine sulphate and morphine hydrochloride are the preferred salts to be used according to the invention.

In order to optimize or to control the properties of 25 the inhalation powders it is sometimes useful to add excipients, which are pharmaceutically suitable and physiologically harmless. Examples of such excipients include monosaccharides (such as glucose and arabinose); disaccharides (such as lactose, saccharose and maltose); 30 polysaccharides (such as dextrans); polyalcohols (such as sorbitol, mannitol and xylitol); salts (such as sodium chloride and calcium carbonate) or mixtures of these excipients with one another. Lactose is the preferred excipient.

35

EXPERIMENTAL PART

For dry powder inhalation systems the patient inspiratory effort through the device is the main force delivering and aerosolizing the formulation. Upon

inspiration the agglomerates or aggregates, which are formed during processing, should break apart and present the drug as more or less discrete particles for inhalation into the lung.

In order to document the dispersion characteristics, as a function of the inhaled air flow rate, in vitro performance test with the use of a impinger are performed. The basic mechanism in this experiment is impaction and the apparatus consists of several stages. The stages represent 10 parts of the respiratory tract. In this manner the powder aerosol is characterized, in the sense of particle size distribution, on the basis of the aerodynamic behaviour of particles. The respirable fraction of a powder is defined as the mass of the particles with a diameter less than 6,8 μm.

15 This respirable fraction is reflected in the determination of the fine particle dose (in mg) or the fine particle fraction (% relative to the delivered dose, defined as the sum of all stages of a impinger and the throat).

The above characterization of a preparation meets the 20 standards of the "Inhalanda" Monograph of the European Pharmacopeia, as published in Pharmeuropa 1996, p. 245-258.

EXAMPLES

Preparation of the mixtures

Morphine sulphate BP was micronized using an air jet mill (LS 100, GfM) at a pressure of 4 bar and a feed rate of 5 g/min. The particle size distribution was determined using a laser diffraction particle sizer (Malvern Mastersizer X). A mixture with lactose monohydrate was obtained by using a 30 high-shear mixer (Robot Coupe R2) during 5 minutes. The ratio of morphine sulphate:lactose in the obtained mixture was 1:17. This mixture was used to fill the cartridges for the Cyclohaler (Example 1), to fill the Cyclovent (Example 3) and to fill the Disphaler (Example 5). All dosages weighted 25 mg. In addition pure micronized morphine sulphate was used to fill the cartridges for the Cyclohaler (Example 2), to fill the Cyclovent (Example 4) and to fill the Disphaler (Example 6). These dosages weighted 10 mg.

Characterization of the aerosol formulations

For determination of the fine particle fraction all inhalation means were characterized by using a multi-stage liquid impactor (Copley, UK) made from glass and metal 5 having four impaction stages and a filter (PA/PH/Exp. 12/T (96) 11 ANP). The nominal cut-off diameter of the stages is 13 μ m, 6.8 μ m, 3.1 μ m and 1.7 μ m at the operating air flow rate of 60 \pm 5 litres per minute. A total volume of 4 litres of air was applied. In the tests with the Cyclohaler, 10 10 doses were sampled. However, in the tests with the Disphaler and Cyclovent 5 doses were sampled. All stages including the filter, the throat were analyzed on morphine sulphate by using a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method. The HPLC method was performed by using a Symmetry C18 15 250 x 4.6 mm ID column (Waters, Milford, Massachusettes, USA), a mobile phase of acetonitrile:water (50:50) with 0.1 M sodium lauryl sulphate and 0.04 M potassium hydrogen phosphate dissolved in water, and a UV detector set at 287 nm. All samples were dissolved in acetonitrile:water 20 (50:50). All calculations were related to morphine as a free base.

EXAMPLE 1

	Cyclohaler				
		mg morphine			
	throat	0,12			
5	stage 1 (< 13 μ m)	0,30			
	stage 2 (< 6,8 μm)	0,10			
	stage 3 (< 3,1 μm)	0,24			
	stage 4 (< 1,7 μm)	0,16			
	filter	0,04			
10	rphine				
	fine particle fraction: 46 % (= respirable fraction; < 6,8 μ m)				

15 EXAMPLE 2

	Cyclohaler			
		mg morphine		
	throat	0,70		
20	stage 1	1,40		
	stage 2	0,67		
	stage 3	1,31		
	stage 4	0,73		
	filter	0,29		
25	fine particle dose: 2,33 mg mo	rphine		

EXAMPLE 3

	Cyclovent				
	·	mg morphine			
5	throat	0,20			
	stage 1	0,26			
	stage 2	0,10			
	stage 3	0,23			
	stage 4	0,17			
10	filter	0,06			
	fine particle dose: 0,46 mg morphine				
	fine particle fraction: 45 %				

15 EXAMPLE 4

	Cyclovent	clovent		
		mg morphine		
	throat	0,55		
20	stage 1	0,40		
	stage 2	0,20		
	stage 3	0,49		
	stage 4	0,59		
	filter	0,50		
25	fine particle dose: 1,58 mg morphine			
	fine particle fraction: 58 %			

EXAMPLE 5

	Disphaler			
		mg morphine		
5	throat	0,23		
	stage 1	0,39		
	stage 2	0,08		
	stage 3	0,20		
	stage 4	0,12		
10	filter	0,04		
	fine particle dose: 0,36 mg morphine			
	fine particle fraction: 34 %			

15 EXAMPLE 6

	Disphaler				
		mg morphine			
	throat	1,72			
20	stage 1	3,99			
	stage 2	0,38			
	stage 3	0,43			
	stage 4	0,17			
	filter	0,11			
25	fine particle dose: 0,71 mg morphine				
fine particle fraction: 10 %					

The formulation administered by the described means and according to the present invention shows good dispersion 30 characteristics, as reflected by adequate fine particle doses. This indicates that various parts of the respiratory tract can be reached. Thus diseases and illnesses in these parts of the respiratory tract can be treated adequately. Furthermore, patients with poor lung function are able to 35 inhale the formulations according to the invention and administered by the described modes.

CLAIMS

- 1. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition suitable for inhalation consisting of micronized particles of an opioid having a fine particle fraction of at least 10%.
- 5 2. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to claim 1, wherein said opioid is selected from the group consisting of morphine, hydromorphone, oxymorphone and codeine.
- 3. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to 10 claim 1 or 2, wherein said opioid is in the form of a salt, an ester or a solvate.
- 4. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to claim 3, wherein said salt is an alkali metal salt, amine salt or an acid addition salt, said ester is a lower alkyl 15 ester, and said solvate is a hydrate.
 - 5. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to any of the claims 1 to 4 and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
- 6. A pharmaceutical dry-powder composition according to 20 claim 5, wherein said carrier is selected from the group consisting of mono-, di- and polysaccharides; polyalcohols; salts and mixtures thereof, preferably lactose.
- 7. Use of an opioid having a fine particle fraction of at least 10% for the preparation of an inhalation medicament 25 for the treatment of dyspnoea and pain.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

etional Application No PCT/NL 98/00713

		1 ,	, 00, 20
A. CLASSI IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER A61K9/00 A61K31/485 A61K9/12	2	
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific	ation and IPC	
	SEARCHED		
Minimum do IPC 7	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification	an symbols)	
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	such documents are included in the fields se	earched .
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, search terms used	
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rel	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 97 35562 A (WATTS PETER JAMES;DANBIOSYST UK (GB); ILLUM LISBET 2 October 1997 (1997-10-02) examples 5,9 claims 8,10,18	TH (GB))	1-7
X	WO 92 14466 A (SMITHKLINE BEECHAM 3 September 1992 (1992-09-03) page 7, line 20 - line 32 examples 7-10	1 PLC)	1-7
X	WO 97 17948 A (EURO CELTIQUE SA; MARK (US); GOLDENHEIM PAUL (US); 22 May 1997 (1997-05-22) page 7, line 30 - page 9, line 3	SACKLER)	1-7
		-/	
X Furti	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed	in annex.
° Special ca	tegories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the inte	metional filling data
consid	ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international	or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the c	the application but early underlying the stalmed invention
"L" docume which citation	ant which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or	cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the do "Y" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an in-	cument is taken alone claimed invention ventive step when the
other i	means ant published prior to the international filling date but	document is combined with one or moments, such combination being obvior in the art. "&" document member of the same patent	us to a person skilled
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	arch report
6	August 1999	18/08/1999	
Name and r	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2	Authorized officer	
	NI 2280 HV Riljswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Seegert, K	

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

r. itional Application No PCT/NL 98/00713

C.(Continu	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
ategory *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.
,	WO 91 11179 A (NAT RES DEV) 8 August 1991 (1991-08-08) claims	·	1-7
,	WO 98 31352 A (TROFAST JAN ;ASTRA AB (SE)) 23 July 1998 (1998-07-23) page 2, line 21 - line 30		1-7
	·		

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

PCT/NL 98/00713

	ent document in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO	9735562	Α	02-10-1997	AU	2038497 A	17-10-1997
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•••		CA	2250053 A	02-10-1997
				EP	0895473 A	10-02-1999
				GB	2325162 A	18-11-1998
	•			NO	984376 A	21-09-1998
WO	9214466	Α	03-09-1992	AU	1227292 A	15-09-1992
WO	9717948	 А	22-05-1997	AU	1060497 A	05-06-1997
		• •		CA	2234847 A	22-05-1997
				EP	0877609 A	18-11-1998
				JP	11500148 T	06-01-1999
WO	9111179	Α	08-08-1991	AT	98487 T	15-01-1994
				AU	635616 B	25-03-1993
				AU	7155991 A	21-08-1991
				CA	2049302 A	25-07-1991
				DE	69100792 D	27-01-1994
				DE	69100792 T	14-04-1994
				EP	0464171 A	08-01-1992
				GB	2240337 A,B	31-07-1991
				JP	4504427 T	06-08-1992
				PT	96567 A	15-10-1991
				US	5254330 A	19-10-1993
				US	5376386 A	27-12-1994
WO	9831352	Α	23-07-1998	AU	5785998 A	07-08-1998
				ZA	9800078 A	20-07-1998